			HOPES - HFI Service Report FY 2009	
			Program Name:Primary Health Care, Inc.	
		S	ection A, 1-10 Includes totals for the families <b>ENROLLED</b> during FY09	
ΔF	/ /09 Enrol	Iment Tot		Comments
Λ. Ι		Percentag		
1	165	Torocmaç	Births/newborn screened for risk factors	
2	69	42%	High risk families with newborn identified & eligible for services	
3	15	22%	Of identified high risk with newborn offered HOPES-HFI	*We are at program capacity 99%
4	6		Target children enrolled	The are at program supusity our
5	13	>	Families enrolled	
6	13	100%	Families enrolled prenatal	
7	7	10070	Enrolled prenatal, not delivered before July 1, 2009	
8	4	31%	Families enrolled mother is < age 19 yr	
9	4	31%	Families enrolled, mother 1st time parent	
10	7	54%	Families enrolled, single parent family	
		0.70	FY09 CASELOAD	
В. Г	Y09 Cas	eload Tota	als	
		Percentag		
1	219		Unduplicated families served at project site since HOPES-HFI began	
2	32	$\sim$	Carryover families from FY08	
3	45		Families	
4	39		Target children	*one set of twins
5	3	7%	Mothers age 18-19 yr when enrolled	
a.	6	13%	Age 15-17	
b.	1	2%	Age < 14	
6	38	84%	Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal	
7	17	38%	Families on caseload, mother 1st time parent	
8	25	56%	Families on caseload, single parent family	
9	3	7%	Families, parent is Caucasian	
10	1	2%	Families, parent is African American	
11	40	89%	Families, parent is Hispanic	
12	1	2%	Families, parent Asian/Oriental	
13	0	0%	Families, parent Native American	
14	51	$>\!\!<$	Additional children ≤ age 18 yr residing in the family home	
C. To	otal <u>Case</u>	load Risk	Factors Assessed Initial Few Home Visits Following Enrollment	
	Number	Percentag	ge	
1	14	31%	Primary wage earner is unemployed	
2	42	93%	Inadequate income	
3	27	60%	Inadequate or unstable housing	

4	12	27%	No Phone
5	34	76%	Unreliable transportation
6	22	49%	Social isolation - limited emergency or family contacts
7	24	53%	Parents formal education less than high school/ GED
8	2	4%	Parental history of special education or learning disabilities
9	1	2%	Current substance abuse of either parent
10	2	4%	History of substance abuse of either parent
11	14	31%	Marital or family problems
12	7	16%	History or current mental illness/depression/psychiatric care
13	10	22%	History or current family domestic violence
14	4	9%	Fear or inadequate feelings about parenting
15	0	0%	Indifferent, intolerant interaction with infant
16	0	0%	History induced/attempted abortion this pregnancy
17	2	4%	History of/or removal older children to foster care
18	0	0%	Termination of parental rights to older children
19	45	100%	Families with 3 or more risk factors

Exits									
umber   F	Percentage								
11		Families exited progran	n						
6	55%	Exits with family goals	met					*3 moved out of state 1 involved v	
5	45%	Exits family goals partia	ally met						
0		Exits family goals not n							
16	$>\!<$	Times families moved/	changed resi	dences					
3	27%	Exits due to family mov	ed out of ser	vice area					
Retent	ion Rate	·							
umber   F	Percentage								
34	<b>\</b>	Average months, include	ding prenatal	families parti	cipated prior	to exit			
1		Families exit before tar			· · · · ·			* moved from Iowa	
Health			J						
ncy and	Birth Inforr	nation							
	Percentage								
2		Target children born at	VLBW & LB	W					
38		Women received initial			•				
1		Women received no pr						*MOB delived at home/Outreach	
13			/omen received ≥ 5 prenatal care exams/appointments						
35			amilies use family planning or a method of birth control						
		(HCC) for Parents							
	Percentage								
4		Families parent(s) have	e health care	coverage.					
th Care		(Insurance) for Targe		J					
	Percentage								
38		Medicaid							
0		Hawk-I							
0		Medicare (SSI or other	)						
0		Private Insurance	,						
1	3%	No health care coverage	ge as of June	30, 2009					
h Care f	or Target		,	•					
	Percentage								
39		Medical Home: Establ	lished for targ	et children an	d accessing				
39		Target children with red				or age accordin	g to the EPSDT	s	
$\overline{}$		(except Dental/Oral He					<u> </u>		
20				,					
20				according to re	ecommende	d schedule by a	ige 2		
0						, ,	<u> </u>		
					•				
28				ildren > 12 mo	o. screened f	or Blood Lead	_evel (BLL)		
20 0 29		100%	Target children ≥ age 2 100% Target children with im 0% Target children with leg Target children ≥ 12 m	Target children ≥ age 2 yr 100% Target children with immunizations a 0% Target children with legitimate immu Target children ≥ 12 months	Target children ≥ age 2 yr  100% Target children with immunizations according to ro 0% Target children with legitimate immunization exem Target children ≥ 12 months	Target children ≥ age 2 yr  100% Target children with immunizations according to recommended 0% Target children with legitimate immunization exemption  Target children ≥ 12 months	Target children ≥ age 2 yr  100% Target children with immunizations according to recommended schedule by a 0% Target children with legitimate immunization exemption  Target children ≥ 12 months	Target children ≥ age 2 yr  100% Target children with immunizations according to recommended schedule by age 2  0% Target children with legitimate immunization exemption  Target children ≥ 12 months	

8	2	7%	Target children with an	elevated BLI	screen				
9	2	100%	Target children received or are receiving intervention for elevated BLL						
10	1	50%	Target children with BL	L resolved to	normal by en	d of FY07			
11	36	92%	<b>Developmental Scree</b>	ning: Numbe	r of target chi	ldren assess	ed for develop	mental	*2 children under 4 months 1 d/c b
$\sim$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	delay per age						
12	4	11%	Target children with su	spected/poter	ntial developm	nent delay ide	entified		
13	4	100%	Target children with su	spected/poter	ntial developm	nent delay re	ferred to Early	ACCESS	
$\sim$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	identified						
14	4	100%	Target children with su	spected/poter	ntial developm	nent delay wh	no participated	in Early	
$\sim$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	ACCESS following refe	erral					
15	25	86%	Dental Home: Target	children <u>&gt;</u> 12r	no accessed	Dental provid	der or I-Smile s	ervices	
16									
$\sim$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$							
17	5	38%	Pregnant women who	had <u>&gt;</u> 1 denta	l exam/scree	ning prenatal			
			FY09 Social, Envi	ronmental, E	motional an	d Communit	ty		
J. Fat	J. Father (FOB) Target Child Involvement								
	Number	Percentage	е						
1	25	56%	Families, Father of the	target child (I	OB) involved				
2	8	18%	Families male involved	in "fatherhoo	d role" other t	han FOB			

K C	aild Abus	e/Neglect							
K. CI	Number Percentage								
1	2	4%	Families on caseload with confirmed child abuse/neglect prior to FY09						
1		GET CHIL							
		Percentage							
3	1	3%	Confirmed abuse/neglect of target child						
4	0	0%	Target children placed in foster home						
5	0	1000/	Confirmed abuses of target child following report by HOPES-HFI						
6	1	100%	Families continued HOPES-HFI participation following confirmed abuse of target child						
L. Ch		for Target							
		Percentage							
1	5	13%	In non-registered home						
2	0	0%	In a registered home						
3	15	38%	With relative/friend						
4	3	8%	In a non-licensed childcare center						
5	8	21%	In a licensed childcare center						
6	6	15%	Accepted and accessed Child Care Resource & Referral						
7	1	3%	Received DHS child care assistance						
M. Pr		for Target							
	Number	Percentage							
1	12	31%	Target children ≥ age 3 yr						
2	7	58%	Target children age ≥ 3 yr enrolled in a pre-school or Head Start						
N. Fa			mmunity Services						
	Number	Percentage	e						
1	6	13%	Accessed domestic violence/abuse services						
2	6	0%	Accessed training ands voc-ed services (disability, technical training						
3	9	20%	Accessed employment services (workforce development, unemployment						
4	38	84%	Accessed financial/budget assistance						
5	16	36%	Accessed housing support (rent assistance, heat assistance, HUD)						
6	10	22%	Accessed mental health services for parent						
7	0	0%	Accessed mental health services for target child						
8	1	2%	Accessed substance abuse treatment services						
9	45	100%	Accessed a public "closet" of infant/toddler supplies						
10	10	22%	Enrolled in family Investment Program (FIP)						
11	1	2%	Enrolled in Promise Jobs (PJ)						
12	13	29%	Accessed further education (GED, Comm. College, 2nd language						
13	15	33%	Participated in parenting education class/group						
14	37	82%	Used public/other transportation (bus, taxi, volunteers)						
15	45	100%	Accessed a community resource center						
16	14	31%	Accessed a library for children's books						
			5						

17	0	0%	Accessed cr	risis child c	are					$\Box$
18	0	$>\!\!<$	Total hours of crisis child care used by families							
O. Nu	itrition									
	Number	Percentage	Э							
1	45	100%	Families enr	Families enrolled in WIC						
2	35	78%	Mothers brea	astfeeding	target child u	pon discharg	e from hospi	tal		
3	28	80%	Mothers con	ntinued brea	astfeeding tai	get child age	<u>&gt;</u> 6 mo			
4	37	82%	Families received DHS food assistance (food stamps)				imps)			
5	1	2%	Families enrolled in Food and Nutrition Program (FNP)							
6	34	76%	Families acc	cessed food	d pantries/cor	mmodity food	S			

P. Fai	mily Prol	blem Asses	ssment & Progress					
			initial assessment during first few h	ome visits, <u>me</u>	asure only fo	r families with	a target	
child v	who is ag	e <u>&gt;</u> 6 mo.						
	Number	Percentage	9					
1	35	78%	Families with age of target child ag	je <u>&gt;</u> 6 mo.				
		nmilies n Identified	Problem Identified	# Resolved	# Improved	# Not Improved		
		27	Living Situation	18	9	0		
		8	Social Isolation	1	7	0		
		22	Transportation	10	10	2		
		26	Home Safety	11	15	0		
		23	Financial Situation	3	16	4		
		29	HOH Employment	13	11	5		
		28	Access to Health Care	28	0	0		
		1	Substance Abuse	0	1	0		
		21	Education	0	15	6		
		12	MH/Emotional Status	5	7	0		
		5	Domestic Violence	2	2	1		
		16	Parenting Skills/Discipline	9	7	0		
		10	Personal Hygiene	3	7	0		
		30	Age Appropriate Activities	13	17	0		
		29	Appropriate Child Care	14	15	0		
		33	Care of Infant	16	17	0		
		28	Child Growth & Development	9	19	0		
		33	Parent-Child Interaction	15	18	0		
Q. HC	PES-HF	I Services						
	Number	Percentage	e					
1	768	$>\!\!<$	Home visits to provide HOPES-HF		SW/PM/ Sup	ervisor		
2	10	1%	Joint home visits with FSW by PM					
3	173	18%	Attempted or not home, not found					
4	70		Units of direct transportation provide	ded (1 round tri	p or 1 way tri	p = 1unit)		
R. Ed	Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Screen							
1	41			and Postnatal)	<b>Jnduplicated</b>			* 4 are over the 24 month benchm
2	63 Total number of EPDS done							
$\times$	1.54 Avg # of EPDS per client (do not need							
3	6	ı		ssible depressi	on			
4	6		Number of referrals made					
a.	2	33%	To Physician/PCP					
b.	4	67%	To MH provider		_			

C.	0	0%	To other	
5	5	83%	Number of clients who accessed a provider following referral	
6	4	80%	Number of clients who received intervention/trmt after accessed provider	
7	2	33%	Number of clients with positive screen already under the care of a provider or receiving	
$\sim$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	treatment for depression	